

ALGEBRAIC CONES

VLADIMIR L. POPOV*

ABSTRACT. A characterization of algebraic cones in terms of actions of the one-dimensional multiplicative algebraic monoid $\mathbf{M}_m$ and the algebraic group $\mathbf{G}_m$ are given.

This note answers a question of K. Adjmagbo asked in [A].

Below all algebraic varieties are taken over an algebraically closed field k .

An irreducible algebraic variety X is called a *cone* if X is affine and its coordinate algebra $k[X]$ admits a connected $\mathbf{N}$ -grading:

$$k[X] = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbf{N}} k[X]_d, \quad k[X]_0 = k. \quad (1)$$

Let $\mathbf{M}_m$ be the multiplicative algebraic monoid whose underlying variety is the affine line $\mathbf{A}^1$ and the multiplication $\mu: \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ is defined by that in k , i.e., $\mu^*(T) = T \otimes T$ where T is the standard coordinate function on $\mathbf{A}^1$. The group of units of $\mathbf{M}_m$ is $\mathbf{M}_m \setminus \{0\} = \mathbf{G}_m$. We put

$$\chi_d: \mathbf{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m, \quad t \mapsto t^d, \quad (2)$$

One says that $\mathbf{M}_m$ *acts* on a variety Y if a morphism $\alpha: \mathbf{M}_m \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is given, such that $\alpha(g, \alpha(h, y)) = \alpha(gh, y)$ and $\alpha(1, y) = y$ for all $g, h \in \mathbf{M}_m, y \in Y$. We write $g(y) := \alpha(g, y)$. The restriction of α to $\mathbf{G}_m \times Y$ is the usual group action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on Y . The set $\mathbf{M}_m(y) := \{g(y) \mid g \in \mathbf{M}_m\}$ is called an $\mathbf{M}_m$ -*orbit* of y (warning: different $\mathbf{M}_m$ -orbits may have a nonempty intersection). If $\mathbf{M}_m(y) = y$, then y is called a *fixed point* of the action.

Theorem. *Let X be an irreducible algebraic variety. Consider the properties:*

- (i) *X is a cone;*
- (ii) *there is an action of $\mathbf{M}_m$ on X with a unique fixed point;*
- (iii) *there is an action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on X with a fixed point and without other closed orbits.*

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and, if X is normal, (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Proof. We may assume that $\dim X > 0$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let X be a cone. Consider a grading (1). Then formula $t \cdot f := t^d f$ for $t \in \mathbf{G}_m, f \in k[X]_d$, defines an action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on $k[X]$ by k -algebra automorphisms. In turn, it defines an (algebraic) action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on X . As grading (1) is connected,

$$k[X]^{\mathbf{G}_m} = k. \quad (3)$$

Since $k[X]^{\mathbf{G}_m}$ separates closed $\mathbf{G}_m$ -orbits (see [MF, Cor. A1.3]), from (3) and [Bor, Cor. I.1.8] we deduce that there is a unique such orbit O . The coordinate algebra $k[O]$

Date: August 7, 2009.

* Supported by grants PΦΦИ 08–01–00095, ИИИ–1987.2008.1, and the program *Contemporary Problems of Theoretical Mathematics* of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Branch of Mathematics.

of O does not contain $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable proper ideals as the zero set of such an ideal would be a proper $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable subset of O . Hence, as closedness of O implies surjectivity of the map $k[X] \rightarrow k[O]$, $f \mapsto f|_O$, the $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable ideal $\bigoplus_{d>0} k[X]_d$ vanishes on O . Therefore, O is a single point.

By the embedding theorem [PV, Theorem 1.5] we may assume that X is a closed $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable subset of a finite dimensional $\mathbf{G}_m$ -module V and X is not contained in its proper submodule. But the set of zeros of all linear functions on V that vanish on X is a $\mathbf{G}_m$ -submodule containing X . Hence we have the embedding $V^* \hookrightarrow k[X]$, $\ell \mapsto \ell|_X$, of $\mathbf{G}_m$ -modules. As the weights of V are the inverses of that of V^* , by (3) this yields that the origin 0_V is the unique $\mathbf{G}_m$ -fixed point in V ; in particular, $O = 0_V$. By (1) this also yields that, for every $\mathbf{G}_m$ -weight χ_d of V^* , we have $d \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence by (2) there are $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbf{N}$ and a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of V such that $t(e_i) = t^{d_i} e_i$ for every $t \in \mathbf{G}_m$ and i . This shows that the action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on V extends to the action of $\mathbf{M}_m$ on V by putting $0(v) = 0_V$ for every $v \in V$. As $\mathbf{M}_m$ is the closure of $\mathbf{G}_m$ and X is $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable and closed, X is $\mathbf{M}_m$ -stable as well. By the same reason, 0_V is a fixed point of $\mathbf{M}_m$. There are no other such points because $V^{\mathbf{G}_m} = \{0_V\}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Assume that $\mathbf{M}_m$ acts on an irreducible variety X with a unique fixed point x . Restrict this action to $\mathbf{G}_m$. Take a point $y \in X$. If the $\mathbf{G}_m$ -orbit $\mathbf{G}_m(y)$ is closed in X , then $\mathbf{G}_m(y) = \mathbf{M}_m(y)$ since $\mathbf{G}_m$ is dense in $\mathbf{M}_m$.

We claim that then $\mathbf{G}_m(y)$ is a single point, whence $\mathbf{G}_m(y) = x$ because of the uniqueness of x . Indeed, assume the contrary, i.e., $\dim \mathbf{G}_m(y) = 1$. The orbit map $\varphi_y: \mathbf{M}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_m(y)$, $g \mapsto g(y)$, is a surjective morphism of one-dimensional smooth varieties such that every fiber is finite and, for every point $z \in \mathbf{M}_m(y)$, $z \neq \varphi_y(0)$, the cardinality of $\varphi_y^{-1}(z)$ is equal to the order s of the $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stabilizer of y while the cardinality of $\varphi_y^{-1}(\varphi_y(0))$ is $s + 1$. By [G, Sect. 2, Cor. 2] this is impossible, a contradiction. Thus, x is a unique closed $\mathbf{G}_m$ -orbit.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Now assume that $\mathbf{G}_m$ acts on X with a unique fixed point x and without other closed orbits. Then, for every point $y \in X$, $y \neq x$, the closure $\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}$ of the orbit $\mathbf{G}_m(y)$ is one-dimensional and

$$x \in \overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}. \quad (4)$$

Assume further that X is normal. Then by Sumihiro's theorem [S, Cor. 2 of Lemma 8] there is a $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable affine open neighborhood U of x . We claim that $X = U$. Indeed, if not, then $X \setminus U$ is a nonempty $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable closed subset in X and (4) is impossible for $y \in X \setminus U$, a contradiction.

Thus, $X = U$, hence X is affine.

As elements of $k[X]^{\mathbf{G}_m}$ are constant on $\mathbf{G}_m$ -orbits, (4) implies that $f(y) = f(x)$ for every $f \in k[X]^{\mathbf{G}_m}$ and $y \in X$; whence (3) holds.

Now let $k[X]_d$ be the χ_d -isotypic component of the $\mathbf{G}_m$ -module $k[X]$. Then

$$k[X] = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbf{Z}} k[X]_d \quad (5)$$

is a $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading of the k -algebra $k[X]$. By (3) it is connected, i.e., $k[X]_0 = k$.

We claim that there are no integers $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 < 0$ such that $k[X]_{d_i} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Indeed, assume the contrary. Then there is a point $y \in X$ such that $k[X]_{d_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, is not in the kernel of $k[X] \rightarrow k[\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}]$, $f \mapsto f|_{\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}}$. Hence, for every $i = 1, 2$, the χ_{d_i} -isotypic component of the $\mathbf{G}_m$ -module $k[\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}]$ is nonzero. This implies that there is an integer $d \neq 0$ such that the $\mathbf{G}_m$ -stable maximal ideal $\{f \in k[\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}] \mid f(x) = 0\}$ of $k[\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}]$ has the nonzero χ_d - and χ_d^{-1} -isotypic components. Let p and q be the nonzero elements of resp. the first and second of them. Then pq

is constant on $\mathbf{G}_m(y)$ and hence on $\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}$. As $pq(x) = 0$, this means that $pq = 0$ contrary to the irreducibility of $\overline{\mathbf{G}_m(y)}$, a contradiction.

Thus, $k[X]_d = 0$ in (5) either for all negative or for all positive d 's. Replacing, if necessary, the action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on X by $g \cdot y := g^{-1}(y)$, we may assume that the first possibility is realized, i.e., (1) holds. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark. The following example shows that, in general, without the assumption of normality of X the implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) do not hold.

Example. Let X be the image of the morphism

$$\nu: \mathbf{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^2, \quad (a_0 : a_1) \mapsto (p^3 : q^2t - p^3 : q^3 - qp^2), \quad p = a_1 - a_0, \quad q = a_1 + a_0.$$

X is the projective plane cubic with an ordinary double point $O = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and $\mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto \nu(x)$, is the normalization map. Formula $\alpha(t, (a_0 : a_1)) = (a_0 : ta_1)$ defines an action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ on $\mathbf{P}^1$ that descends to X by means of ν , see [P]. For this action, O is a unique fixed point and $X \setminus O$ is a $\mathbf{G}_m$ -orbit. This action extends to the one of $\mathbf{M}_m$ by putting $0(x) = O$ for every $x \in X$.

REFERENCES

- [A] K. ADJAMAGBO, *Letter to V. L. Popov*, July 9, 2009.
- [Bor] A. BOREL, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd enlarged ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [G] A. GROTHENDIECK, *Compléments de géométrie algébrique. Espaces de transformations*, in: *Séminaire C. Chevalley*, 1956–1958. *Classification de groupes de Lie algébriques*, Vol. 1, Exposé no. 5, Secr. math. ENS, Paris, 1958.
- [MF] D. MUMFORD, J. FOGARTY, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [P] V. L. POPOV, *Algebraic curves with an infinite automorphism group*, Math. Notes **23** (1978), 102–108.
- [PV] V. L. POPOV, E. B. VINBERG, *Invariant Theory*, in: *Algebraic Geometry IV*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 123–284.
- [S] SUMIHIRO, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, GUBKINA 8, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `popovvl@orc.ru`